



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF C MMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			*	-		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT			A1	TORNEY DOCKET NO
087487, 701	06/07/95	GRAY	•		J	028723-020
		HM31	/052 9 .		EX	AMINER
R DANNY HUNTINGTON BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS					MARSCHEL, A	
PO BOX 140	4				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404					1634	
				L DATE	MAII ED:	05/29/99

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Application No. 08/487,701

Applicant(s)

Gray et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Marschel, Ardin

Group Art Unit 1634

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 2, 1998	<u> </u>		
★ This action is FINAL.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, p in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.O.			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within tapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Carte above, Claim(s) 1-47, 70, 87, and 94 have been canceled.	is and withdrawn from Portsideration.		
X Claim(s) 72-86, 90-93, and 95-97	is/are allowed.		
X Claim(s) 48-69, 88, and 89	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claims are subject t			
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	8.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exam			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appro			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docur	ments have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	<u> </u>		
received in this national stage application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:	·		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
⊠ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ □			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PA	nces		

The art unit designated for this application has changed.

Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

Applicants' arguments, filed 3/2/98, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

If applicants desire priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 based upon a parent application, specific reference to the parent application must be made in the instant application. It is noted that this appears as the first sentence of the specification following the title. Status of the parent application (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "Patent No." should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Drawings in colors other than black and white do not come within the purview of 37 CFR 1.84. Unless the drawing requirements of 37 CFR 1.84 are waived, the draftsman will not approve color drawings in a utility or design patent application. Thus, Figures 13A-13I, 14, 15A, 15B, 16A, 16B, 17A, 17B, 18A, 18B, 19A, 19B, 20, and 21 are improper and applicants must either cancel the drawings or provide substitute black and white drawings.

Neither the examiner nor the draftsman have the authority to waive or suspend drawing requirements to permit color drawings in

utility and design applications. Applicants may file a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 with fee requesting acceptance of the color drawings and a waiver of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.84. The petition and application file must be sent to the Deputy Assistant Commissioner for Patents for decision. Only if the petition is granted will the draftsman be authorized to approve the color drawings as to form.

Where color drawings have been transferred from a prior application to a newly submitted application, applicants must renew the petition under 37 CFR 1.183 even though a similar petition was filed in the prior application. Until the renewed petition is granted, the examiner must object to the color drawings as improper. See MPEP 608.02.

Claims 88 and 89 are rejected, as discussed below, under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 88 and 89 are vague and indefinite as to what limitations are meant therein because they depend from canceled claim 87. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 48-69 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,447,841. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because common embodiments exist between the two sets of claims in that the probe complexity of the instant claims is greater than about 40 kb whereas any complexity of probe is within the scope of the cited patent, everything else being the same in the claims.

Claim 71 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Patent Numbers 5,472,842; 5,641,628; and 5,538,869 are cited on the enclosed PTO Form 892 because the claims of these patents are directed to similar subject matter as instantly claimed.

Claims 72-86, 90-93, and 95-97 are allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Since the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) for a first submission subsequent to a final rejection has been previously paid, applicant, under 37 CFR 1.129(a), is entitled to have a second submission entered and considered on the merits if, prior to abandonment, the second submission and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) are filed prior to the filing of an appeal brief under 37 CFR 1.192. Upon the timely filing of a second submission and the appropriate fee for a small entity under 37 CFR 1.17(r), the finality of the previous Office action will be withdrawn. If a notice of appeal and the appeal fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) were filed prior to or with the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r), the payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) by applicant will be construed as a request to dismiss the appeal and to continue prosecution under 37 CFR 1.129(a). In view of 35 U.S.C. 132, no amendment considered as a result of payment of the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(r) may introduce new matter into the disclosure of the application.

- 6 - Art Unit: 1634 Serial No. 08/487,701 Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Chemical Matrix receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. May 26, 1998 Adin J. Man ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER